



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,542	09/26/2001	Michael S.G. Bell	40198/181160(CAN100)	2060

23370 7590 03/10/2003

JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP
1100 PEACHTREE STREET
SUITE 2800
ATLANTA, GA 30309

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, VI X

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3731

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/937,542

Applicant(s)

BELL ET AL.

Examiner

Victor X Nguyen

Art Unit

3731

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 September 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 5-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Rosenberg (U.S. 4,960,419).

Regarding claim 1, Rosenberg shows in figures 1-3a, a handle assembly (2) for a detachable scalpel blade (4) includes a handle body (2), wherein a blade bar (12) has a portion protruding from the handle body (2) for engaging the blade and urging the blade (4) into engagement with the handle body (2).

Regarding claims 3 and 5, wherein the blade (4) receives in a groove (fig. 3a, item 13), wherein the bar (12) is bent and the groove (fig. 3a, item 13) is parallel to the longitudinal axis.

Regarding claims 6-11, Rosenberg shows in figures 5, 8 and 9, wherein the collet (308) has a bore (314) and the collet (308) has a slit (figs 8 and 9) within the end of the blade (4) is received; wherein the collet (308) has at least one sloping face for guiding the blade (4) into the slit; and wherein the bore (314) has an oval shape (fig. 8) so that the bar (12) can move laterally within the bore along orthogonal axis to the longitudinal axis.

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding claims 12-14, Rosenberg shows in figures 5, 8 and 9, wherein the bar (12) is coupled to an actuator (320 b) for urging the portion of the bar out of the handle assembly; wherein the actuator (320 b) is a button attached to a rod (308).

Regarding claims 15-18, Rosenberg shows in figures 3, 5, 8 and 9, wherein the handle body further includes a handle grip, a collet (308) and a collet core (314); wherein the handle grip (310) has oval shape (figures 8 and 9), wherein the handle grip (310) includes ribs (314 a, b); and wherein the collet (308) flares at its proximal end.

Regarding claims 19-20, Rosenberg shows in figure 1, a retainer (30) captures the rod within the handle body (2); and wherein the retainer (30) attaches to the handle body (2).

Regarding claims 21-27, Rosenberg shows in figures 1, 3, 5-6 and 8-9, wherein a handle body (2) has a blade bar (12), a tang (not labeled) and a spring (fig. 6, item 212); wherein the collet (308) includes a bore (314); and wherein at least one sloping face guides the blade (4) into the slot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg (4,960,419) in view of Thimsen et al (4,649,919).

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding claims 2 and 4, Rosenberg discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Rosenberg does not disclose a coil spring. Thimsen et al teaches a coil spring (fig. 11, item 88).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the same time the invention was made to modify Rosenberg by adding a coil spring as taught by Thimsen et al in order to facilitate a cutting motion to the blade bar.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,924,206 to Cote et al	U.S. Pat. No. 5,695,511 to Cano
U.S. Pat. No. 5,957,945 to Bays	U.S. Pat. No. 6,228,097 to Levinsion
U.S. Pat. No. 4,522,206 to Whipple	U.S. Pat. No. 3,877,147 to Cummings
U.S. Pat. No. 5,314,417 to Stephens	

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/937,542

Page 5


Art Unit: 3731

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Victor X Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 3731

vn *vn*
February 22, 2003


MICHAEL J. MILANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700